



Faculty Hiring Priorities Committee Minutes Wednesday, October 26, 2022 1 p.m. – 2 p.m., ECC 106

	Committee Members/Guests			
	Michelle Fischthal, Co-Chair	Megan Leppert		
_	Rachel Rose, Co-Chair	Carrie Lewis		
ATTENDEES/		Andrei Lucas- Absent		
PROXIES/	Maria Allan- Absent	Steve Major- Absent		
GUESTS	John Bromma- Absent	Carolyn McGavock		
	Mary Burns- Absent	Eric Miller- Absent		
	Sean Caruana	Timothy Pawlak		
	Patricia Comey- Absent	Sam Phu- Absent		
	Lorie Crosby Howell	Shirley Pierson- Absent Cat Prindle		
	Sheila Davis			
	Brad Dorschel- Absent	Bob Pyle- Absent		
	Marquest T. Glover	Zac Ruvalcaba- Absent		
	Michelle Gray	Char Schade		
	Jacqueline Hester	Cassandra Storey		
	Veleka Iwuaba	Jeff Strack- Absent		
	Jan Jarrell	Claudia Tornsaufer		
	Neill Kovrig- Absent	Helena Wei- Absent		
	Roberta Krauss			

Agenda Item A: Call to Order/Approval of Meeting

DISCUSSION	The meeting was called to order by Rachel Rose at 1:10 pm.	
	Approval of Agenda was M/S/C by Michelle Fischthal and Sean Caruana as is. Discussion: None Opposed: None Abstentions: None Action: Approved	

ACTION ITEMS	PERSONS RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
None	• N/A	• N/A

Agenda Item B: Approval of Minutes

DISCUSSION	The May 9, 2022, Approval of Minutes was M/S/C by Rachel Rose and Cat Prindle as is.
	Discussion: None
	Opposed: None
	Abstentions: Claudia
	Action: Approved

ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
None	• N/A	• N/A

Agenda Item C:	Old Business	
Agenda Item C: DISCUSSION	 Old Business 1. Prioritization Criteria 1-10 (google document) Approved- May 9th: Motioned to approve the 10 criteria and 3 conditions met as indicated for the 2022-2023 year, with the possibility of modifying criteria in the coming years. M/S/C by Michelle Fischthal and Sean Caruana. Ayes: (11)- Rachel, Cat, Sean, Jackie (2), Helena, Lorie (2), Char, Megan, Michelle F. Nays: None Abstentions: None Updates provided since prioritization criteria was approved. Criteria were sent to the PRIE Office and VPA to include in this year's resource allocation process. Criteria 10 Edit- added "aligns with the strategic plan and aligns with the strategic plan priorities 2022-2023". Committee discussion continued on existing criteria. Criteria 2- Waitlists Discussed how to address if data is unavailable. Methods to address the criteria: 1) no one answers to equalize everything; 2) Take it out; if removed, how will programs that have a waitlist be able to address or justify. If there aren't enough faculty to meet student demands, this could support why a program would have a higher need. This is an opportunity to write to the need of having all 	
	- Committee discussion continued on existing criteria.	
	 Criteria 2- Waitlists 	
	 Discussed how to address if data is unavailable. 	
	equalize everything; 2) Take it out; if removed, how will programs that have a waitlist be able to address or justify.	
	 This is an opportunity to write to the need of having all programs have a waitlist. 	
	 Other data/evidence to support a request without a 	
	waitlist.	
	Emails	
	 FTES - indirect indicator showing how programs 	
	are not meeting the demands of the community.	

 May want to re 	3- Community Demand Waitlist can be connected to criteria 3 which is specific to Labor Market Information (LMI). Bakeshop example shared where community demand is robust but waitlist may not reflect the same demand. Fill rates can support criteria 3. When we meet in December, we will review and analyze the weight of this criteria. view how the approved criteria impacts this year's tion process and add additional text to address ed.
------------------------------------	---

ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
None	• N/A	• N/A

Agenda Item D: New Business

DISCUSSION	 Review Committee Mission and Objectives for revision in the SDCCE Committee Handbook Discussion occurred on the current goals of the committee. Committee reviewed current tasks and responsibilities: The Committee provides a list of programs noting the level of conditions met. The list to assist the President in making the
	final decision on hiring faculty.
	- Committee addressed purpose and role moving forward.
	 Discussed how the Committee could contribute to the overall betterment of the institution.
	 Discussed the history of how we moved from the rubric
	structured review to sets of categories where the programs are
	rated. As a committee, we developed these criteria as a tool
	that would tell us where program requests fall. The criteria
	align with the questions in the resource requests.
	 May want to identify voting members.
	 May want to review how the process holistically worked.
	 Will benefit from reviewing other program's faculty requests to
	learn how needs for positions were addressed.
	 It would be helpful to understand the "why" which can inform us on how we are doing as an institution.
	 The current President might view things differently than our previous. Will likely need to review the Committee's current
	approach.
	 If we decide to change how prioritizations occur, we can use
	the remaining meetings to discuss that.
	- Handbook will go into effect in 2023 and is valid for 3 years.

ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
Add "Review Handbook" to December	Ginger	 Before meeting.
agenda.Invite President King to the next meeting.	• Ginger	• ASAP

Agenda Item E:	Roundtable	
DISCUSSION	None	

ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
None	• N/A	• N/A

Agenda Item F:	Next Meeting(s)
DISCUSSION	 December 6, 2022, 1pm-2pm February 2023, Date and Time TBD May 9, 2023, 3pm-4pm (Cancel)

ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
 Cancel May meeting. Identify a February meeting date to include the CE President. 	• Ginger	 ASAP

Agenda Item G: Adjournment

DISCUSSION	Adjournment of meeting was M/S/C by Michelle Fischthal and Sean Caruana. Discussion: None Opposed: None Abstentions: None Action: Approved
	Meeting adjourned at 2:12pm.

Submitted by Ginger Davis, Administrative Assistant, VP of Instructional Services Approved on: $\underline{12/8/22}$