



**Planning and Institutional Effectiveness Committee**

<b>MINUTES</b> for Thursday, September 28 2017	<b>3:00 – 4:30</b>	<b>ECC room 121</b>
<b>Members Present:</b>	Robin Carvajal, David Holden, Michelle Fischthal (Co-Chair), Marne Foster, Neill Kovrig, Jessica Luedtke, Michele Madrid Novak, Matthew Rivaldi, Richard Weinroth (Co-Chair), Debi King	
<b>Members not Present:</b>	Star Rivera-Lacey, *Katie Serbian	
<b>Guests:</b>	*John Bromma (proxy for Katie Serbian)	
<b>Recorder:</b>	Debi King	

**AGENDA**

<b>1. Call to Order 3:07 /Roll Call</b>	introductions
<b>2. Action Item(s)</b>	Review agenda
<b>2.1 Approval of Agenda</b>	Agenda approved
<b>2.2 Approval of May 25, 2017 Minutes</b>	
<b>CONCLUSION</b>	Motion to approve with correction of two typos - Jessica Luedtke, seconded by Marne Foster
<b>3. Information/Discussion Item(s)</b>	
<b>3.1 Review of the IEPI visit schedule and identify any additional participants</b>	
<b>DISCUSSION</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Discussion on confirming and identifying appropriate representation for November 6 meetings.</li> <li>• Discussion on separate meeting with Senate Presidents: Neill indicated it was not necessary for the Classified, John and Richard decided to retain the time slot but reduce time.</li> <li>• Discussion on what might be discussed and speaking to challenging and controversial. Michelle: we will have those conversations with PIE and Program Review committees.</li> <li>• Discussed needing more time for the Program Review meeting/discussion and changed schedule accordingly.</li> <li>• Group: add Kelly Henwood to ‘accountability tracking’ portion of the IEPI visit.</li> </ul>
<b>ACTION ITEM</b>	<b>Michelle</b> will contact Kelly Henwood to request her attendance for ‘accountability tracking’ – IEPI visit
	. Michelle: we should have all programs represented.
<b>ACTION ITEM</b>	<b>John</b> will contact people from Heavy Voc, Fashion/Hosp, and Parenting to represent
	Michelle informed group that there is approval for substitutes during visit - David doesn’t want to miss class. Nametags/logistics will be handled by Kim.
<b>3.2 Discussion and approval of the development of an accreditation sub-committee</b>	
<b>DISCUSSION</b>	Group: we need to bring others into this sub-committee and include members of this committee (PIE). This sub-committee will need to 1) develop goals and objectives, 2) tracking action plan, 3) survey. Sub-committee must report back to PIE.
<b>CONCLUSION</b>	Motion to approve by Richard Weinroth, seconded by Neill Kovrig
<b>3.3 Discussion and approval of the development of a research sub-committee</b>	
<b>DISCUSSION</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Discussed purpose/activities: development of research agenda, using data for informed decision making, etc.</li> <li>• Jessica, Michelle, and Neill will be on the research sub-committee.</li> <li>• Group: when will these two committees (3.2 &amp; 3.3) meet? Two times per semester for each sub-committee TBA.</li> </ul>
<b>ACTION ITEMS</b>	<b>Richard</b> will reach out to others for this committee
	<b>Michelle</b> will forward an email from a volunteer requesting to be on committee to Richard
<b>CONCLUSION</b>	Motion to approve by Neill Kovrig, seconded by Jessica Luedtke
<b>3.4 Campus Labs (planning system) update</b>	
<b>DISCUSSION</b>	Michelle: system is being upgraded, not rolled out yet, waiting for ‘authentication’ from SDCE Technology dept. Pilot group of users (faculty & deans) have used/looked at the system. Upgrade and

	authentication could be mid-November. OIE is working on getting other plans into the system – met with WIOA to facilitate this.
<b>3.5 PIE goals and objectives for this coming year</b>	
DISCUSSION	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Michelle: IEPI will be able to help with this. Are there specific things we should address?</li> <li>• Discussed surveys: Richard: customer feedback surveys “what worked well?” – simple questions. Michelle: survey will be initiated by the committees, like program review. Jessica: many committees haven’t put out surveys before. Michelle: maybe PIE can put out some simple guidelines for committees to request surveys 1) institution, 2) within the actual group. Richard: is there a way to advise or have a standard tool or guidelines? John: maybe research sub-committee can give rules or guidance. We need to talk about how we engage in surveying as an institution.</li> <li>• Robin: is there room in this committee to tackle: 1) systems – faculty attendance reporting, 2) traveling to other campuses? Marne: electronic roll books? Michelle: Instructional Services is taking on some of this. There is so much that PIE is doing, this should be coming from other areas – not necessarily Institutional Effectiveness.</li> <li>• Next meeting agenda items to include committee to draft: “what is our purpose’</li> <li>• Group: Who has oversight re: the lack of systems - Technology committee? David: they are not talking about these things.</li> <li>• Robin: fundamental issues and lack of advocacy streamlined. Group: there are missing pieces in our infrastructure; there has to be advocacy. Michelle: we can make recommendations. This is addressed in the “IEPI Area of Focus Treatment”.</li> <li>• Can/should this committee make recommendations to other committees?</li> </ul> <p>Discussion and development of goals extended to next meeting</p>
<b>4. Adjournment:</b> Meeting adjourned at 4:41 PM	

NEXT MEETING:  
Thursday, October 26, 2017- 3:00-4:30  
ECC- Room 121

**Minutes submitted by:** [Debi King](#) **Minutes approved**

**Date:**