

Technology Committee Minutes

Thursday, December 13th, 2018 2:00 p.m. – 3:00 p.m., ECC, Room 186

	Committee Members		
	Jacqueline Sabanos, Co-Chair	Tim Saylar, IT Representative	
	Aaron Iffland, Co-Chair	Mary Burns, Faculty, Emeritus	
ATTENDEES/ PROXIES	Edith Quintero, Counselor	Anthony Vargas, Supervisory	
	J. Luedtke, Dean Inst. Effectiveness		
	Absent Commi	ittee Members	
	Michelle Fischthal, VPI	David Mudumbi, Associated Students	
	Stephanie Thomas, Faculty, ESL	Kathy Hornik, Faculty, DSPS	
	Esther Matthew, Dean, Student Services		
	Rich McGirr, Classified		
	Committee	ee Guests	
	Will Olmstead	David Holden	

Agenda Item #1: Call to Order:

1		

DISCUSSION	The meeting was or	called to order by Aaron Iffla	and at 2:02 p.m.	
ACTION ITEMS		PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE	
• None		• N/A	● N/A	
Agenda Item #2:	Welcome/Introduction	ons		
A brief welcome was extended to the members present.				
ACTION ITEMS		PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE	
• None		PERSON RESPONSIBLE • N/A	DEADLINE ● N/A	
	Approval of Minutes	• N/A		
• None		• N/A	• N/A	
None Agenda Item #3:	E. Quintero Motio	• N/A	• N/A	

ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
• None	• N/A	• N/A

Agenda Item #4: WIOA II Grant Committee Governance Changes

DISCUSSION	D. Holden brought forward the approval of WIOA II's new committee
------------	---

- membership changes by the Technology Committee for approval.
- There were no objections to the proposed changes. J. Sabanos motioned and M. Burns seconded to approve the change.
- Motion carried.

ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
• None	● N/A	● N/A

Agenda Item #5: Old Business:

DISCUSSION

- A. Iffland posted links in the agenda to the scoring rubric and also posted the old scoring rubric for reference for members to provide feedback.
- A. Iffland made a compilation of observations that encapsulated the concerns of the technology committee members. A. Iffland spoke to J. Luedtke about it and she communicated these concerns to the scoring rubric consultant. A. Iffland went through the new versions of the scoring rubric and associated examples and instructions. It was moved and second to use the new scoring rubric for this cycle. Feedback will be gathered throughout the cycle that will used to improve the rubric and overall scoring process for the next cycle. Motion carried.
- A. Iffland informed the committee about a Dropbox containing all the resource requests of all committees. Currently there are 176 resource requests for the Technology Committee to review.
- J. Luedtke office is almost finished with a spreadsheet containing all the information for all resource requests in one spreadsheet. One line for one request.
- M. Burns questioned where the funding will come from to fund the different resource requests.
 - A. Iffland explained once the Tech Committee ranks the resource requests, according to the shared governance procedures; they will be passed to the Budget Committee and EGC.

ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
• None	• N/A	• N/A

Agenda Item #6: New Business

DISCUSSION

- A. Iffland went through training on Scoring Technology Requests
- It was suggested splitting the resource request forms among the Tech Committee members.
- J. Luedtke and A. Iffland offered help to anyone with questions regarding the scoring resource requests process.
- There was discussion on sending the scoring resource requests to the I.T
 department to see if the requests are viable or not. This could be helpful to the
 Budget Committee when making a decision.
- There were 35 requests from Auto Tech that do not include any justification information. There was discussion on how to proceed with scoring these requests. It was moved and seconded that PRIE would not include the 35 Auto Tech requests in the list of items the Tech Committee will score, and to instead allow PRIE to populate scores manually for each request into the spreadsheet of final scores. Each of the fields will have a score of zero except for the requests that have checked that it is a safety-related item, which will get a score of 25 in that field. Motion carried.
- It was moved and seconded to allow A. Iffland to randomly assign the scoring requests to the voting members of committee so that each request will receive an adequate number of scores (a target of 3 per request) with the stipulation that no rater would score requests for departments or groups they are part of. A. Iffland will send out the scoring assignments and instructions to all voting members on January 2. Final scores will be due on January 16 at noon. This will allow compilation by PRIE for review by the Technology Committee at the January 17 meeting.

ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
 Split the 141 technology resource requests among the Technology Committee Members 	● A. Iffland	• January 2, 2019
 Scoring the technology resource requests 	 Tech Committee Members 	 January 16, 2019 at noon
 Review the Technology Committee scores 	• A. Iffland	 January 17, 2019

Agenda Item #7: Roundtable

ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
• None	• N/A	• N/A

Agenda Item #8: Next Meeting

DISCUSSION ● January 17, 2019 at 2 p.m. to 3 p.m. in room 186

ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
• None	• N/A	• N/A

Agenda Item #8: Adjournment

DISCUSSION	The meeting was adjourned by Aaron Iffland at 3:39 p.m.
------------	---

ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
• None	• N/A	• N/A

Submitted by F	Patricia Lopez,	Sr. Secretary,	VP, Administra	itive Services
Approved on:				